

for lasciviousness; that is, his relations towards women?

A. Yes sir.

Q. Is it good or bad?

A. Bad.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over objection of the defendant as above stated, and thereby erred, for the reasons stated.

82. (ccc). Because the Court over objection of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent, illegal and prejudicial to the defendant permitted the Solicitor General to ask the following questions, and the witness, Mrs. H. R. Johnson, to make the following answers:

Q. Now, are you acquainted with his (Frank's) general character for lasciviousness; that is, his general character towards women generally?

A. No sir, not very much.

Q. Not very much? Well, answer the question; yes or no; are you acquainted?

Q. All right, she said, not very much.

The Court admitted the above questions and answer, over the objection of defendant as above stated and there^{by} erred, for the reasons stated.

83 (dddd) Because the Court, over the objections of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent, illegal and prejudicial to the defendant, permitted the solicitor general to ask the following questions, and the witness Miss Marie Karst, to make the following answers:

Q. Bad; now, Miss Karst, I will ask you if you are acquainted with his (Frank's) general character for lasciviousness, that is, his attitude towards girls and women?

A. Yes sir.

Q. Is that character good or bad? A. Bad.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over the objection of the defendant as above stated, and thereby erred for the reason stated.