

Q. Not very much? Well, answer the question: yes or no; are you acquainted?

A. All right, she said, not very much.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over the objection of defendant as above stated, and thereby erred, for the reasons stated.

83. Because the Court, over the objection of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent, illegal and prejudicial to the defendant, permitted the solicitor-general to ask the following questions, and the witness, Miss Marie Carst, to make the following answers:

Q. Bad; now, Miss Carst, I will ask you if you are acquainted with his (Frank's) general character for lasciviousness; that is, his attitude towards girls and women?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Is that character good or bad?

A. Bad.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over the objection of the defendant as above stated, and thereby erred, for the reasons stated.

84. Because the Court, over the objection of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent, illegal and prejudicial to the defendant, permitted the solicitor-general to ask the following questions, and the witness, Miss Nellie Pettis, to make the following answers:

Q. Are you acquainted with his (Frank's) general character for lasciviousness; that is, with women prior to that time?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Is it good or bad?

A. Bad.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over objection of the defendant as above stated, and thereby erred, for the reasons stated.

85. Because the Court, over the objection of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent, illegal and prejudicial to the defendant, permitted the solicitor-general to ask the following questions, and the witness, Miss May Davis, to make the following answers:

Q. I want to ask you another question. Are you acquainted with the general character of Leo M Frank, prior to April 26, 1913, as to lasciviousness; that is, his relations with girls and women?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that good or bad?

A. Bad.

The Court admitted the above questions and answers, over objection of the defendant as above stated, and thereby erred, for the reasons stated.

86. Because the Court, over the objection of the defendant, made at the time the evidence was offered, that the same was immaterial, incompetent,