

he was a lawyer, left.

"I was brought to Atlanta from the Reformatory at Milledgeville, Friday morning, May 1st, 1914. Since coming here I have seen a man who was pointed out to me as C.W.Burke. I have seen him twice since I came from the Reformatory. On both occasions I had ample opportunity to see him well and to watch him; and I am now prepared to say, and do say under oath that he is the man who was with me in Birmingham, passing under the name of Kelly; that is the name the man known as Terry called him; and it was what I called him, as he was introduced to me as being Mr. Kelly, and it was the name which he recognized and responded to. Mr. N.A.Garner was with me when I saw and identified Mr. Kelly as he was coming out of the National Pencil Company's factory on Friday, May 1st, 1914. The man who I pointed out to Mr. Garner as Mr. Kelly, got in an automobile - a Ford touring car - and Mr. Garner told me that the name by which he was known in Atlanta was C. W. Burke.

"When they had me up in the room in Birmingham all three of these men got me over in the corner right at the window and pulled down the shades. They cursed John Black, city detective of Atlanta; they talked to me entirely about the evidence that I had given on the Frank case and insisted that I should change it and would not let me hardly say anything to them. They talked low, but I was afraid; I am now just 15 years old.

"I have read over the above affidavit and it is all true, and I have placed my name on each and every page thereof after having read it."

Another affidavit of George W. Epps, in which he testifies in substance as follows:

"I met Jimmie Wrenn for the first time at the corner of Auburn Avenue and Peachtree Street, and not at Miller's Messenger Service, but four blocks away, or about that.

"Wrenn did not discuss the Frank case with witness casually or otherwise in Atlanta but decoyed witness out of the city and out of the state to discuss it with him.

"Witness did not state to Jimmie Wrenn (as C.W.Burke swears Jimmie Wrenn reported to him) that the testimony witness had given at the trial of the Leo M. Frank case was in most part false; nor